

**BRAC Cleanup Team
OE BCT Meeting Minutes
November 14, 2002**

Final Version

Attendees:

Gail Youngblood, DENR
Rizgar Ghazi, DTSC
John Chesnutt, USEPA
David Eisen, USACE
Bruce Wilcer, Harding ESE
Edward Ticken, Harding ESE
Beth Flynn, Harding ESE

Gary Griffith, Parsons
Chieko Nguyen, DENR
Kevin Siemann, DENR
Juan Koponen, USACE
George Siller, USACE

Agenda Items:

Item	Action	Comment
Track 1	Discussion	Discuss comments from 11/13 CIW presentation if any
Action Items	Update	Update previous month list
Fieldwork Update	Update	
Fieldwork Variance	Update	
IA Workplan issues	Discussion	
Definition of "chasing anomalies"	Discussion	
Schedule Update	Discussion	
OE RI/FS	Discussion	
Site Security Update	Update	OE incident database, and draft criteria for detailed write-up

Action Items List

Action Items list (see attached) from September 19, 2002 OE BCT meeting was updated and will be provided to meeting attendees.

Track 1

- Harding ESE will coordinate with Bud Duke of DTSC to explore the best way of providing data and other specific information from Track 1 sites as requested by Rizgar Ghazi.
- John Chesnutt suggested that the BCT meet to discuss sites where additional work may be appropriate. Army will provide a list of marginal sites to prioritize review and potential implementation of additional investigative work.
- The agencies may request additional review time for Track 1 report. This will be discussed at the next meeting.
- It was suggested that a meeting be considered for going over each site individually. Agencies will review the report first then revisit this suggestion.

Fieldwork update

- At this time, a total of 32 anomalies have been found within 960 feet of the road at the Seaside sites. Engineering control will be used when excavating these anomalies. Five of them are within 200 feet of the road. General James Moore Road will be closed

during intrusive investigation. Potential impacts to the residences across the street will be evaluated prior to intrusively investigating these anomalies.

- OE teams are working in Seaside and Del Rey Oaks sites. Approximately 2 weeks worth of work is left in the Del Rey Oaks sites.
- One potential 4-inch Stokes requires TEC escort response. Kevin Siemann will provide a map of the location.
- Site Specific Work Plan for R43-48 is on hold pending further discussion needed regarding the removal of anomalies when conducting Schonstedt (surface) removal prior to digital recording. Looking at intrusive investigation when conducting Schonstedt removal, and using digital recordings as QC. Range 45 area where OE concentration is high is proposed for sifting. The extent of digital QC would be determined considering the types of OE, detection capability of instruments, reuse and other site-specific factors. This is based on SEA work results. Rizgar Ghazi requested a proposal on paper.
 - **Documentation of this proposal would include** a map of Schonstedt coverage and rationale, a map of QC steps and rationale, QC protocol (ex. What to do if items are found during QC) and pros vs. cons of the proposed approach.
- Prescribed burn: Army is considering to burn a portion of R43-48 if the weather/burn window is not long enough.

Security Update

- One recent incident: BLM found an item near Mudhen Lake area. Kevin Siemann will provide the OE incident report.

Fieldwork Variances

- Fieldwork variances have been posted on the agency website and future variances will continue to be posted. Monthly update will be provided at OE BCT meetings.

Chasing Anomalies discussion

The term “chasing anomalies” was discussed as a follow-up on DTSC’s comment 2 on draft Site-Specific Work Plan for Ranges 43-48. It was clarified that when a removal depth is specified, the correct term to be used is “investigating anomalies” to the specified depth, instead of “chasing.”

Schedule Update

- Track 1 schedule will be updated to reflect additional 30-days to the agency review period on the draft report.
- Track 2 report is delayed by 6 months due to the recent focus on the Interim Action program during the past several months, and the agency review period on draft RI/FS report will be 60 days.
- Track 2 data quality objectives and Removal Checklist for the evaluation of previous work need to be updated.