

**BRAC Cleanup Team**  
**Munitions Response (MR) BCT Meeting Minutes (DRAFT)**  
**January 10, 2005**  
BRAC Conf. Room/conference call

Final Version

**Attendees:**

Gail Youngblood, Fort Ord BRAC	Roman Racca, DTSC
John Chesnutt, USEPA (by phone)	Kris Escarda, DTSC
David Eisen, USACE	Juan Koponen, USACE
Bruce Wilcer, MACTEC	Derek Lieberman, Fort Ord BRAC
Cynthia Michener, USACE	Stewart Black, DTSC
Gary Griffith, Parsons	Ed Ticken, MACTEC
Chieko Nguyen, Fort Ord BRAC	Craig Murray, Parsons
Lyle Shurtleff, Fort Ord BRAC	Bill Mabey, TECHLAW
Melissa Broadston, Fort Ord BRAC	

**Agenda Items:**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Transfer Status	Update	Presentation by Derek Lieberman
ATSDR Visit	Info	Presentation by Melissa Broadston
Action Items	Update	Discussion by Chieko Nguyen
Fieldwork Update	Update	Presentation by Lyle Shurtleff
Results of EM61 Asphalt Test	Info	Presentation by Craig Murray
Fieldwork Variance	Update	Presentation by Gary Griffith
Track 0 Plug-In Approval Memorandums	Update	Discussion by Chieko Nguyen
Track 1	Update	Presentation by Chieko Nguyen
FFA Schedule	Update	Discussion by Chieko Nguyen

**Transfer Status** (handout provided). The status of the transfer of property was described. Discussion:

- Army inquired if agencies preferred to receive draft Findings of Suitability to Transfer (FOSTs) and associated Covenants to Restrict Use of Property (CRUPs) concurrently for review or whether the FOSTs and CRUPs could be submitted separately. Agencies indicated it is not necessary to receive CRUPs concurrently with FOSTs.
- Army described current schedule for FOST 8; draft to be forwarded to agencies by 14 January.
- Army requested agency preference on overlap of agency review periods for draft FOSTs and Track 0 Plug-in Approval Memorandums. Army records indicate EPA preference for review periods to not overlap by more than a week. EPA offered to study the issue and reply if their preference is different.
- Army reported that concurrence letters from EPA and DTSC for the completion of work at Outfall 15, an Interim Action (IA) site located within the Beach Ranges, which are listed for transfer in FOST 9 could not be located. DTSC described having attempted to locate a concurrence letter, without success. The Army reported having received some comments from EPA to the confirmation report for Outfall 15, to which the Army had

responded; however, the Army could not find a concurrence letter from EPA. EPA provided that a file check would be made for a concurrence letter. The Army suggested that, in accordance with the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), the agencies could accept the confirmation report for Outfall 15 as final without concurrence letters and the Army would insert appropriate language in the FOST; however, EPA and DTSC indicated that if they could not locate letters, they would write new ones.

- Army reported it had not been able to locate a concurrence letter from DTSC for the completion of work at IRP Site 1, an Interim Action (IA) site located within the Beach Ranges, which are listed for transfer in FOST 9. DTSC reported that, at the request of the Army, they had attempted to locate a concurrence letter, but had not found one. The Army suggested that, in accordance with the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA), DTSC could accept the confirmation report for Site 1 as final without concurrence letters and the Army would insert appropriate language in the FOST. DTSC described its policy to have closure on all sites, and provided that if a concurrence letter could not be located, another would be written.
- The Army reported it had agreed to be party to a CRUP with DTSC for an area within IRP Site 31, which is within a parcel listed for transfer in FOST 8, and is working with DTSC to define the area to be restricted.
- DTSC provided that the RPM will schedule site walks on properties that will be included in Track 0/Track 1 FOSTs with Army before January 31. DTSC requested GPS locations and recent boundary adjustments from Army. The Army will provide whatever information is available regarding parcel boundaries and location in the absence of legal descriptions to assist DTSC in this effort.
- EPA recommended DTSC contact Tom Hall concerning his interest in some sites.
- Army reported the status of FOSL 12 (MOUT Site) and submitted a proposed schedule for the document to the agencies. FOSL 12 is currently at the Hampton Field Office for review.

**ATSDR Visit.** A description of planned release of Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) report and briefing schedule was provided. The Army informed BCT that a report by ATSDR concerning their analysis of the health effects of the October 2003 vegetation burn on the former Fort Ord has been scheduled to be published by ATSDR in February. The Army described proposed briefings of the report by ATSDR to County health officials, Monterey County school officials, and in a public forum yet to be determined. These activities will be conducted independently by ATSDR.

**Action Items List** (handout provided). The Action Items list was updated.

Discussion:

- DTSC reported that their legal department will continue to look at language for an agreement between DTSC and State Parks regarding future activities at the former beach ranges.
- DTSC indicated intent to comment on aerial geophysics mission plan (Jim Austreng).
- EPA and DTSC indicated that they will review draft press release for aerial geophysics mission. Army will send a copy to Claire.

**Fieldwork Update** (handout provided). An update of current fieldwork was provided.

Discussion:

- The progress of MRS-Ranges 43-48 - Range 45 sifting was discussed. The current status (75% of grading complete) was provided.
- The Site Security/Incident Reporting update was delayed until the February 16 Site Security Committee Meeting.

**Result of Testing the EM61 Over Asphalt** (handout provided). The results of a contractor test were presented.

Discussion:

- BCT members agreed that test data is sufficient for the preparation of a variance for single instrument subsurface removal in recommended asphalt areas.

**Fieldwork Variance Update.** There were no fieldwork variances during the period.

### **Track 0 Plug-in Approval Memorandums.**

Discussion:

- Army agreed to remove the OU2 landfill from Track 0 Plug-in Approval Memorandum for Group B Parcel.
- Army agreed to reserve a date for an on-board review of Track 0 Plug-in Approval Memoranda for Parcels B&C after 31 January.

### **Track 1 Update**

- Army described Track 1 Record of Decision (ROD) back check as sent on 5 January and requested agency comments by 19 January.
- EPA recommended establishing a 30-day deadline for agency dispute or concurrence on draft final version of plug-in documents.
- EPA described efforts by Tom Hall in review of response to community comments on Track 1 ROD by Army. Further response by Army may be required.
- DTSC provided that Dan Ward has not had an opportunity to see the back check.

### **FFA Schedule**

Discussion:

None

### **Reports**

Discussion:

- Roman Racca agreed to forward draft DTSC comments on draft Seaside 1-4 (Phase 1) Technical Information Paper to Dan Ward. Comments to be provided to Army in 2 weeks.